

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/768,113 | YATOUGO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Anatoly Vortman | 2835 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Anatoly Vortman. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Surinder Sachar, Reg. No. 34,423. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendment to the claim has been discussed in order to provide proper antecedent basis for "said inlet and said outlet" (see Examiner's Amendment attached therewith).

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)